

Joseph R. Saveri (State Bar No. 130064)
Andrew M. Purdy (State Bar No. 261912)
Matthew S. Weiler (State Bar No. 236052)
James G. Dallal (State Bar No. 277826)
Ryan J. McEwan (State Bar No. 285595)
JOSEPH SAVERI LAW FIRM, INC.
505 Montgomery Street, Suite 625
San Francisco, California 94111
Telephone: (415) 500-6800
Facsimile: (415) 395-9940
Email: jsaveri@saverilawfirm.com
apurdy@saverilawfirm.com
mweiler@saverilawfirm.com
jdallal@saverilawfirm.com
rmcewan@saverilawfirm.com

Interim Lead Class Counsel for Direct Purchaser Plaintiffs

[additional counsel listed on signature page]

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

IN RE CAPACITORS ANTITRUST
LITIGATION

Master File No. 3:14-cv-03264-JD

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO
ALL ACTIONS

**STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]
ORDER RE: DISCOVERY OF
ELECTRONICALLY STORED
INFORMATION**

1. PURPOSE

This Order will govern discovery of electronically stored information (“ESI”) in this case as a supplement to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, this Court’s Guidelines for the Discovery of Electronically Stored Information, and any other applicable orders and rules.

2. COOPERATION

The undersigned parties are aware of the importance the Court places on cooperation and commit to cooperate in good faith throughout the matter consistent with this Court’s Guidelines for the Discovery of ESI.

1 **3. LIAISON**

2 The parties have identified liaisons to each other who are and will be knowledgeable about and
3 responsible for discussing their respective ESI. Each e-discovery liaison will be, or have access to those
4 who are, knowledgeable about the technical aspects of e-discovery, including the location, nature,
5 accessibility, format, collection, search methodologies, and production of ESI in this matter. The parties
6 will rely on the liaisons, as needed, to confer about ESI and to help resolve disputes without court
7 intervention.

8 **4. PRESERVATION**

9 The parties have discussed their preservation obligations and needs, and agree that potentially
10 relevant ESI has been preserved, and will continue to be preserved, in a reasonable and proportionate
11 manner. To reduce the costs and burdens of preservation and to ensure proper ESI is preserved during
12 the litigation, the parties agree that:

- 13 a) The Defendants named for the first time in the July 18, 2014 Chip-Tech complaint have
14 preserved and will continue to preserve ESI created or received between January 1, 2000 and
15 August 31, 2014, to the extent such ESI existed as of the date the Defendants internally
16 issued litigation hold notices. The Defendants named for the first time in the Plaintiffs'
17 respective consolidated complaints filed November 14, 2014 have preserved and will
18 continue to preserve ESI created or received between January 1, 2000 and December 31,
19 2014, to the extent such ESI existed as of the date Defendants issued litigation hold notices.
- 20 b) Plaintiffs have preserved and will continue to preserve ESI created or received between
21 January 1, 2000 and December 31, 2014, to the extent such ESI existed as of the date
22 Plaintiffs internally issued litigation hold notices.
- 23 c) All parties have preserved and will continue to preserve electronically stored transactional
24 sales data, to the extent it exists, for the period January 1, 1997 through the present.
- 25 d) The parties have exchanged information regarding ESI that is being preserved. The parties
26 have discussed the identification of non-custodial sources of potentially relevant ESI. All
27 parties have advised that litigation holds have been implemented and that all existing
28 relevant company and custodial files are being preserved, including, on a party-by-party

basis, providing in individualized meet and confer communications the types, categories and location of ESI that has been preserved.

- e) The parties have conferred about sources of potentially relevant ESI that are not reasonably accessible because of undue burden or cost. To the extent certain parties have identified potentially relevant ESI that is not reasonably accessible, the parties agree that ESI from these sources will be preserved but not searched, reviewed or produced, except as agreed by the parties or upon a showing of good cause and Court order.
- f) The parties have discussed proportionality considerations but, aside from ongoing discussions between Plaintiffs and certain Defendants, no party takes the position that data from any source that may contain relevant information should not be preserved at this time.

5. SEARCH

- a) The parties have met and conferred about methods to search ESI, and have identified custodians and use of filtering search terms, in order to identify ESI that is potentially responsive to the parties' discovery requests and is subject to production in discovery, and to filter-out ESI that is not subject to discovery. As a result of the parties' meet and confer efforts, they have agreed to a general search term and phrases list to be used to filter Defendants' ESI. The parties will shortly conclude their meeting and conferring over Defendant-specific search terms and phrases and Japanese language translations of all search terms and phrases. The parties' meeting and conferring about Plaintiff-specific search terms and phrases is ongoing.
- b) Should any parties' search results for any agreed-upon search term or phrase from the general list or Defendant-specific lists result in an excessively high hit rate or raise any other party-specific or custodian-specific issues, the parties agree to meet and confer about these issues in an effort to seek a mutually agreeable limitation or variant of the search term or phrase at issue. Should the parties not be able to reach agreement, the parties agree to concurrently submit to the Court their respective discovery dispute letters (no more than two pages in length) promptly after reaching an impasse.

1 **6. PRODUCTION FORMATS**

2 Plaintiffs have requested that Defendants produce ESI in native format. Certain Defendants
3 have informed Plaintiffs that they will not produce their ESI natively, but instead will produce in TIFF
4 format. Plaintiffs take the position that those Defendants producing their ESI in a non-native format
5 have waived their right to seek recovery of the cost of TIFF conversion under 28 U.S.C. § 1920. Those
6 Defendants that choose to produce their ESI in TIFF format assert that they have not waived their right
7 to seek recovery of the cost of TIFF conversion, and expressly reserve their right to do so at the
8 appropriate time. Exhibit A to this Stipulation and [Proposed] Order sets forth the production
9 specifications to which the parties agree regarding native production and, if a party so chooses,
10 production in TIFF format.

11 Regardless of the format of production, the parties agree not to degrade the searchability of
12 documents as part of the document production process.

13 **7. DOCUMENTS PROTECTED FROM DISCOVERY**

- 14 a) Pursuant to Fed. R. Evid. 502(d), the production of a privileged or work-product-
15 protected document, whether inadvertent or otherwise, is not a waiver of privilege or
16 protection from discovery in this case or in any other federal or state proceeding. For
17 example, the mere production of privileged or work-product-protected documents in
18 this case as part of a mass production is not itself a waiver in this case or in any other
19 federal or state proceeding.
- 20 b) The parties have agreed upon a “claw back” procedure and, subject to Court approval,
21 reserve rights to assert privilege as follows:
- 22 i) If a producing party has a good faith belief that a privileged document has been
23 produced, it shall promptly notify the receiving parties of the claim of privilege.
- 24 ii) Upon receipt of any notice claiming privilege with respect to a produced
25 document, all other parties (regardless of whether they agree with the producing
26 party’s claim of privilege) shall promptly:

- 1 a. Use reasonable efforts to return, sequester or destroy all copies of the
2 identified documents or material in such party's possession, custody or
3 control, and notify the producing party that they have done so; and
4 b. Use reasonable efforts to retrieve and return, destroy or sequester the
5 identified documents or material from other persons, if any, to whom such
6 documents or material have been provided, and notify the producing party
7 that they have done so.
- 8 iii) To the extent a receiving party disputes the producing party's claim of privilege,
9 the receiving party shall notify the producing party of its position within 14 days
10 of receiving the producing party's notice claiming privilege. Within 14 days of
11 the producing party receiving notification of the dispute, the parties shall meet
12 and confer in an effort to resolve their disagreement. If the parties are unable to
13 resolve their disagreement, the parties may submit the issue to the Court for a
14 determination, and may submit the documents at issue for in camera review.
- 15 c) Privileged communications that post-date the filing of the first complaint in this action
16 need not be placed on a privilege log. Privilege logs shall comply with this Court's
17 Standing Order for Discovery in Civil Cases Before Judge Donato.
- 18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

1 **8. MODIFICATION**

2 This Stipulated Order may be modified by a Stipulated Order of the parties or by the Court for
3 good cause shown.

4 **IT IS SO STIPULATED**, through Counsel of Record.

5 Date: July 6, 2015

JOSEPH SAVERI LAW FIRM, INC.

6 By: /s/ Joseph R. Saveri

7 Joseph R. Saveri

8 Joseph R. Saveri (State Bar No. 130064)
9 Andrew M. Purdy (State Bar No. 261912)
10 Matthew S. Weiler (State Bar No. 236052)
11 James G. Dallal (State Bar No. 277826)
12 Ryan J. McEwan (State Bar No. 285595)
13 505 Montgomery Street, Suite 625
14 San Francisco, California 94111
15 Telephone: (415) 500-6800
16 Facsimile: (415) 395-9940
17 Email: jsaveri@saverilawfirm.com
18 apurdy@saverilawfirm.com
19 mweiler@saverilawfirm.com
20 jdallal@saverilawfirm.com
21 rmcewan@saverilawfirm.com

22 *Interim Lead Class Counsel for Direct Purchaser*
23 *Plaintiffs*

24 Date: July 6, 2015

COTCHETT PITRE & MCCARTHY, LLP

25 By: /s/ Steven N. Williams

26 Steven N. Williams

27 Joseph W. Cotchett (State Bar No. 36324)
28 Steven N. Williams (State Bar No. 175489)
Elizabeth Tran (State Bar No. 280502)
Joyce Chang (State Bar No. 300780)
840 Malcolm Road, Suite 200
Burlingame, California 94010
Telephone: (650) 697-6000
Facsimile: (650) 697-0577
Email: jcotchett@cpmlegal.com
 swilliams@cpmlegal.com
 etran@cpmlegal.com
 jchang@cpmlegal.com

Interim Lead Counsel for the Indirect Purchaser
 Plaintiffs

1 Date: July 6, 2015

MINTZ LEVIN COHN FERRIS GLOVSKY
AND POPEO PC

2
3 By: /s/ Bruce D. Sokler
Bruce D. Sokler

4
5 Bruce D. Sokler (*admitted pro hac vice*)
6 701 Pennsylvania Avenue NW
Suite 900
7 Washington, DC 20004
202-434-7303
8 Fax: 202-434-7400
Email: bdsokler@mintz.com

9 *Attorneys for Defendant AVX Corporation*

10 Date: July 6, 2015

WILMER CUTLER PICKERING HALE AND
DORR LLP

11 By: /s/ Heather S. Tewksbury
12 Heather S. Tewksbury

13 Heather S. Tewksbury
14 950 Page Mill Road
Palo Alto, CA 94304
15 (650) 858-6134
Fax: (650) 858-6100
16 Email: heather.tewksbury@wilmerhale.com

17 *Attorney for Defendants Elna Co. Ltd. and Elna
America Inc.*

18 Date: July 6, 2015

WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI
Professional Corporation

19
20 By: /s/ Jonathan M. Jacobson
Jonathan M. Jacobson

21 Jonathan M. Jacobson
22 Chul Pak (*admitted pro hac vice*)
23 Jeffrey C. Bank (*admitted pro hac vice*)
1301 Avenue of the Americas, 40th Floor
24 New York, New York 10019
Telephone: (212) 497-7758
25 Facsimile: (212) 999-5899
jjacobson@wsgr.com
26 cpak@wsgr.com
jbank@wsgr.com

27 Jeff VanHooreweghe (*admitted pro hac vice*)
1700 K Street, N.W., Fifth Floor
28 Washington, DC 20006
Telephone: (202) 973-8825

Facsimile: (202) 973-8899
jvanhooreweghe@wsgr.com

*Attorneys for Defendants Hitachi Chemical Co., Ltd.,
Hitachi Chemical Company America, Ltd., and
Hitachi AIC Incorporated*

Date: July 6, 2015

PILLSBURY WINTHROP SHAW PITTMAN
LLP

By: /s/ Roxane A. Polidora
Roxane A. Polidora

Roxane A. Polidora (CA Bar No. 135972)
Jacob R. Sorensen (CA Bar No. 209134)
Lindsay A. Lutz (CA Bar No. 254442)
Four Embarcadero Center, 22nd Floor
San Francisco, CA 94111
Telephone: (415) 983-1000
Email: roxane.polidora@pillsburylaw.com
jake.sorensen@pillsburylaw.com
lindsay.lutz@pillsburylaw.com

*Attorneys for Defendants KEMET Corporation and
KEMET Electronics Corporation*

Date: July 6, 2015

DENTONS US LLP

By: /s/ Bonnie Lau
Bonnie Lau

Bonnie Lau
525 Market Street, 26th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94105
415-882-5000
Fax: 415- 882-0300
Email: bonnie.lau@dentons.com

Attorneys for Defendant Matsuo Electric Co., Ltd.

Date: July 6, 2015

GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP

By: /s/ George A. Nicoud III
George A. Nicoud III

GEORGE A. NICOUD III, SBN 106111
AUSTIN V. SCHWING, SBN 211696
ELI M. LAZARUS, SBN 284082
aschwing@gibsondunn.com
tnicoud@gibsondunn.com
elazarus@gibsondunn.com
555 Mission Street, Suite 3000
San Francisco, CA 94105-0921

Telephone: 415.393.8200
Facsimile: 415.393.8306

MATTHEW PARROTT, SBN 302731
mparrott@gibsondunn.com
3161 Michelson Drive
Irvine, CA 92612-4412
Telephone: 949.451.3800
Facsimile: 949.451.4220

*Attorneys for Defendants NEC TOKIN Corporation
and NEC TOKIN America, Inc.*

Date: July 6, 2015

K&L GATES LLP

By: /s/ Michael E. Martinez
Michael E. Martinez

Scott M. Mendel (*pro hac vice*)
Steven M. Kowal (*pro hac vice*)
Michael E. Martinez (*pro hac vice*)
Lauren N. Norris (*pro hac vice*)
Lauren B. Salins (*pro hac vice*)
70 West Madison Street, Suite 3100
Chicago, IL 60602
Telephone: (312) 372-1121
Facsimile: (312) 827-8000

*Counsel for Defendants Nichicon Corporation,
Nichicon (America) Corporation, and FPCAP
Electronics (Suzhou) Co., Ltd.*

Date: July 6, 2015

WINSTON & STRAWN LLP

By: /s/ Jeffrey L. Kessler
Jeffrey L. Kessler

Jeffrey L. Kessler (*pro hac vice*)
A. Paul Victor (*pro hac vice*)
Molly M. Donovan (*pro hac vice*)
Mollie C. Richardson (*pro hac vice*)
200 Park Avenue
New York, New York 10166
Telephone: (212) 294-4698
Facsimile: (212) 294-4700
jkessler@winston.com
pvictor@winston.com
mmdonovan@winston.com
mrichardson@winston.com

Ian L. Papendick (State Bar No. 275648)
101 California Street
San Francisco, CA 94111
Tel: (415) 591-6905

Fax: (415) 591-1400
ipapendick@winston.com

*Counsel for Defendants Panasonic Corporation,
Panasonic Corporation of North America, SANYO
Electric Co., Ltd., and SANYO North America
Corporation*

Date: July 6, 2015

O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP

By: /s/ Michael F. Tubach
Michael F. Tubach

Michael F. Tubach (SBN 145955)
Christina J. Brown (SBN 242130)
Two Embarcadero Center, 28th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94111-3305
Telephone: (415) 984-8700
Facsimile: (415) 984-8701
Email: mtubach@omm.com
Email: cjbrown@omm.com

Kenneth R. O'Rourke (SBN 120144)
400 South Hope Street, 18th Floor
Los Angeles, CA 90071
Telephone: (213) 430-6000
Facsimile: (213) 430-6407
Email: korourke@omm.com

*Attorneys for Defendants ROHM Co., Ltd. and
ROHM Semiconductor U.S.A., LLC*

Date: July 6, 2015

HUNTON AND WILLIAMS LLP

By: /s/ Djordje Petkoski
Djordje Petkoski

Djordje Petkoski
2200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20037
202-955-1500
Email: dpetkoski@hunton.com

*Attorneys for Defendants Rubycon Corporation and
Rubycon America Inc.*

1 Date: July 6, 2015

CADWALADER, WICKERSHAM & TAFT LLP

2 By: /s/ Charles F. Rule
3 Charles F. Rule

4 Charles F. Rule (admitted *pro hac vice*)
5 Joseph J. Bial (admitted *pro hac vice*)
6 Daniel J. Howley (admitted *pro hac vice*)
7 700 6th St, NW
8 Washington, DC 20001
9 Telephone: (202) 862-2200
10 Facsimile: (202) 862-2400
11 rick.rule@cwt.com
12 joseph.bial@cwt.com
13 daniel.howley@cwt.com

14 *Attorneys for Defendants Nippon Chemi-Con*
15 *Corporation and United Chemi-Con, Inc.*

16 Date: July 6, 2015

ROPEs & GRAY LLP

17 By: /s/ Mark S. Popofsky
18 Mark S. Popofsky

19 Mark S. Popofsky
20 One Metro Center
21 700 12th Street NW, Suite 900
22 Washington, DC 20005-3948
23 Telephone: (202) 508-4624
24 Facsimile: (202) 508-4650
25 mark.popofsky@ropesgray.com

26 Jane E. Willis (*admission pro hac vice pending*)
27 800 Boylston Street
28 Boston, MA 02199-3600
Telephone: (617) 951-7603
Facsimile: (617) 235-0435
jane.willis@ropesgray.com

Attorneys for Taitso Corporation and Taitso
America, Inc.

29 Date: July 6, 2015

MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP

30 By: /s/ Paul T. Friedman
31 Paul T. Friedman

32 Paul T. Friedman
33 Michael P. Kniffen
34 425 Market Street
35 San Francisco, California 94105-2482
36 Telephone: 415.268.7000
37 Facsimile: 415.268.7522
38 Email: PFriedman@mofo.com

Email: MKniffen@mofo.com

Jeffrey A. Jaeckel
2000 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Suite 6000
Washington, District of Columbia 20006-1888
Telephone: 202.887.1500
Facsimile: 202.887.0763
Email: JJaeckel@mofo.com

*Attorneys for Defendants Fujitsu Limited, Fujitsu
Semiconductor America, Inc., and Fujitsu
Components America, Inc.*

Date: July 6, 2015

JONES DAY

By: /s/ Eric P. Enson
Eric P. Enson

Jeffrey A. LeVee (State Bar No. 125863)
jleeve@JonesDay.com
Eric P. Enson (State Bar No. 204447)
epenson@JonesDay.com
Rachel H. Zernik (State Bar No. 281222)
rzernik@jonesday.com
555 South Flower Street, Fiftieth Floor
Los Angeles, CA 90071.2300
Telephone: +1.213.489.3939
Facsimile: +1.213.243.2539

*Counsel for Defendants Holy Stone Enterprise Co.,
Ltd. and Milestone Global Technology, Inc.*

Date: July 6, 2015

BAKER & MCKENZIE LLP

By: /s/ Douglas Tween
Douglas Tween

Douglas Tween (admitted *pro hac vice*)
Darrell Prescott (admitted *pro hac vice*)
452 Fifth Avenue
New York, NY 10018
(212) 626-4355
Fax: (212) 310-1655
Email: Douglas.Tween@bakermckenzie.com
Email: Darrell.Prescott@bakermckenzie.com

Meghan E. Hausler (admitted *pro hac vice*)
2300 Trammell Crow Center
2001 Ross Avenue
Dallas, TX 75206
Telephone: (214) 965-7219
Facsimile: (214) 965-5937
Email: Meghan.Hausler@bakermckenzie.com

Colin H. Murray (SBN 159142)
Two Embarcadero Center, 11th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94111
(415) 591-3244
Fax: (415) 576-3099
Email: Colin.Murray@bakermckenzie.com

*Attorneys for Defendants Okaya Electric Industries
Co., Ltd. and Okaya Electric America, Inc.*

Date: July 6, 2015

HUGHES HUBBARD & REED LLP

By: /s/ Ethan E. Litwin
Ethan E. Litwin

Ethan E. Litwin (*admitted pro hac vice*)
Morgan J. Feder (*admitted pro hac vice*)
Hughes Hubbard & Reed LLP
One Battery Park Plaza
New York, NY 10004-1482
Tel: (212) 837-6000
Fax: (212) 422-4726
Email: Ethan.Litwin@hugheshubbard.com
Email: Morgan.Feder@hugheshubbard.com

David H. Stern (CA Bar No. 196408)
Carolyn Sahimi (CA Bar No. 260312)
Hughes Hubbard & Reed LLP
350 South Grand Avenue
Los Angeles, CA 90071-3442
Tel: (213) 613-2800
Fax: (213) 613-2950
Email: David.Stern@hugheshubbard.com
Email: Carolyn.Sahimi@hugheshubbard.com

*Attorneys for Defendants Soshin Electric Co., Ltd.
and Soshin Electronics of America, Inc.*

Date: July 6, 2015

LATHAM & WATKINS LLP

By: /s/ Belinda S. Lee
Belinda S. Lee

Belinda S Lee
Ashley M. Bauer
505 Montgomery Street, 20th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94111
Telephone: 415-391-0600
Facsimile: 415-395-8095
belinda.lee@lw.com
ashley.bauer@lw.com

*Attorneys for Defendant Nitsuko Electronics
Corporation*

1 Date: July 6, 2015

DENTONS US LLP

2 By: /s/ Gaspare J. Bono
3 Gaspare J. Bono

4 Gaspare J. Bono (*admitted pro hac vice*)
5 Stephen M. Chippendale (*admitted pro hac vice*)
6 Claire M. Maddox (*admitted pro hac vice*)
7 1900 K St., NW
8 Washington, DC 20006
9 Tele.: (202) 496-7500
10 Fax: (202) 496-7756
11 Gap.Bono@dentons.com
12 Stephen.Chippendale@dentons.com
13 Claire.Maddox@dentons.com

14 Andrew S. Azarmi (SBN 241407)
15 Spear Tower, One Market Plaza, 24th Fl.
16 San Francisco, CA 94105
17 Tele.: (415) 267-4000
18 Fax: (415) 356-3873
19 Andrew.Azarmi@dentons.com

20 *Attorneys for Defendants Shinyei Kaisha, Shinyei*
21 *Technology Co., Ltd., Shinyei Capacitor Co., Ltd.,*
22 *and Shinyei Corporation of America, Inc.*

23 Pursuant to Civil L. R. 5-1(i)(3), I attest that concurrence in the filing of this document has been
24 obtained from each of the other signatories above.

25 Date: July 6, 2015

26 By: /s/ Joseph R. Saveri
27 Joseph R. Saveri

28 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

Date:

Hon. James Donato

EXHIBIT A - PRODUCTION FORMAT REQUESTS

Responsive, non-privileged documents and ESI shall be produced as follows:

A. Production Format

1. Native Productions

Plaintiffs have requested that Defendants produce all documents in native file format. "Native" files refer to electronic files in the same format in which they were originally collected from custodians or other sources. Native format documents may be identified with a Bates stamped slip sheet and be provided a link to the Native file in the load files, or some other reasonably accepted means of identifying the native format documents in discovery productions and for court filings. To the extent that any such native files are used in any pretrial motion or proceeding, those files will be referred to the Bates-number(s) assigned during processing.

2. TIFF Productions

Certain Defendants have indicated that they intend to make their document productions in TIFF format. The standards below pertain to TIFF productions.

a. Image Production Standard

Paper documents will be produced as single page TIFF images with corresponding Concordance and Opticon standard load files, or other agreed-upon format, with accurate document page breaks. ESI produced in TIFF format will follow the same protocol. To the extent practicable, color documents will be produced in color TIFF form, except native image files such as JPG will be produced in JPG format. Each TIFF image will be labeled with a Bates number on the corresponding page. Nothing in this stipulation shall waive a party's right to make documents available for inspection as allowed by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

b. Native Document Production as part of TIFF Productions

Even if a party decides to produce documents in TIFF format, they agree that Excel, Powerpoint, Presentation, Audio Visual and other media files (*e.g.*, .wav, .avi, .mpg, .mov, etc.) shall be produced in native format in the first instance.

1 **c. Extracted Text or Optical Character Recognition (“OCR”) Text for TIFF**
2 **Images**

3 To the extent practicable, each individual document based on an electronic file shall be
4 accompanied by a corresponding text file with text that is extracted from the electronic file, not
5 generated as an OCR file from the .TIFF image(s). These text files will be broken up by document, not
6 by page, meaning each document, regardless of number of pages, will have 1 text file. The production of
7 relevant ESI in searchable, full text format is limited to those forms of ESI that have text (in other
8 words, any non-text formats [*e.g.*, .wav and .jpeg] would not produce any corresponding text files). Each
9 extracted text file will be named according to the first Bates number of the corresponding electronic
10 document.

11 The extracted text shall also conform to the Unicode standard.

12 The Concordance load file will contain a link to the extracted text or OCR text file if applicable.
13 The text should not be included in the Concordance .DAT load file

14 **B. Metadata Fields**

15 The following corresponding metadata fields, to the extent applicable to a produced electronic
16 document, will be included in a searchable fielded data file, regardless of the production format:

- 17 • *Beginning/Ending Document numbers
18 • *Beginning/Ending Attachment ranges
19 • Document File extension or application
20 • Document Source (e.g., department, or file location)
21 • Sent Date
22 • Sent Time
23 • Create Date
24 • Create Time
25 • Author
26 • From
27 • Recipient
28 • CC
 • BCC
 • Subject (for emails)
 • Title (for files)
 • Custodian
 • File Name
 • Modified Date
 • Modified Time
 • *Full Text (Re-OCR'd text if redactions were made) (including images with searchable text)
 • *Field populated to identify if a document has been redacted (Redacted – “YES”)

1 ** If redacted emails and/or documents are produced, only these fields of information will be included in*
2 *the load file.*

3 No party will have any obligation to manually generate information to populate these fields.

4 If TIFF format is used, there are special considerations for certain user-created files such as
5 image files (*e.g.*, TIF, JPG, and GIF files): Image files should be produced as electronically Bates-
6 numbered single-page TIFF images and corresponding metadata. Image files may not contain
7 searchable content available for production, but to the extent available, the searchable content of such
8 image files will be extracted into a fielded database file (metadata fields outlined below) that will also be
9 produced. This metadata file shall also conform to the Unicode standard.

10 **3. Redaction of Images**

11 If the parties redact images on grounds of the attorney-client privilege or attorney work product
12 doctrine, these redactions will be listed on a Privilege Log. In the event a document is redacted, the
13 redaction will be marked by either a box that covers the protected text and/or the term “Redacted.” The
14 extracted text described above will not be delivered for that document and in place of extracted text,
15 OCR output will be delivered based on the redacted images, to the extent reasonably feasible. The
16 parties agree and understand that redaction requires that a document be produced in TIFF format.

17 **4. Foreign Language Documents**

18 The parties agree that the producing party shall not bear the cost of translating foreign language
19 documents produced in this case. The requesting parties shall bear the burden of any costs associated
20 with the translation of foreign language documents.

21 **C. De-Duplication of Productions**

22 The parties will use reasonable, good faith efforts to avoid the production of duplicate
23 documents and ESI.¹

24 The parties shall meet and confer to disclose and discuss the methodology and
25 technologies being employed by each party to de-duplicate review of potentially responsive ESI and
26

27 ¹ In general, de-duplication is based on the calculation of a value (*e.g.*, MD5, SHA256) based on the
28 content of each file. These values are then compared across the population and duplicates are removed
from the review and production process as appropriate within each party’s processes.

documents in accordance with this Order. The parties may de-duplicate identical ESI vertically (*i.e.*, by custodian) and horizontally (*i.e.*, globally across custodians).